

Titania and Silver Nanoparticle Exposure in a Riverine System

*How environmental exposures occur and
change under different environmental
conditions*

October 6, 2009


Ann Miracle, Ph.D.

Evaluate abiotic, and ecosystem-wide, effects


- ▶ Titania and Silver nanoparticles in a simulated river/sediment system
 - Columbia River water (pH=7.65; hardness=77 mg/L as CaCO₃)
 - Sand sediments

- ▶ Titania and Silver citrate in static cells and flow through river mesocosms
 - Microbial community changes (static only)
 - Uptake by clams and amphipods
 - Deposition on sediments
 - Aggregation in flowing water

Silver Citrate Materials



→
CRW



30 – 200 nm for spheres

80 – 400 nm x 30 – 50 nm for rods

Microbial Sedimentary Community Silver Exposures

Static Exposure Study

ü Homogenized sediment from surface water mesocosm

ü Exposures (1, 4 and 14 d):

Doses in CRW:



1 ug/g Ag nano

4 ug/g Ag+


Controls

- Shift in dominant microbial species at 14 days

- Ag nano had greater community shift than Ag+



Silver Mesocosm Exposure



24 hr exposure, 24 hr depuration




- Columbia River water (CRW)
- Clams
- Amphipods
- Microbial community in sand sediments

Control, 1 $\mu\text{g/L}$, 10 $\mu\text{g/L}$, 50 $\mu\text{g/L}$







Ag particle size in CRW


- ▶ Low concentrations of dosed Ag nanoparticles fractionated to larger particle sizes
- ▶ Degree of fractionation occurs over 24 hours
- ▶ Prior studies show dissolved fractions at doses $> 100 \text{ ug/L}$



Accumulation of Silver





Titanium Oxide Materials




5-30 nm anatase

→
CRW



<75 nm rutile/anatase

→
CRW



Titania Mesocosm Exposures



-5 mg/L over 12 hour flow-through
-36 hr flow-through depuration




Titania exposures



Variable	TiO ₂ (mg/g dry weight)		
	or % total dose (5 mg/L)		
	Flow - Through	Static*	
	A	A/R	A
amphipods	47.9	64.8	2.1
clams	0.55	1.04	0.03
sediment	66%	13%	34%

Clam : Amphipod uptake ratio ~1:70

TiO₂ Size Distribution from SEM



► Mean equivalent diameter*

- Distilled Water – 30 nm
- CRW – 200 nm

Two Materials – One Exposure Scenario

Abiotic and Ecosystem-Wide Effects

- ▶ NP size affected by environmental characteristics
- ▶ Specific properties of NP material may affect bioaccumulation and downstream ecosystem impacts
- ▶ Acute toxicity not observed in Columbia River water

Research Gaps Remain

- ▶ NP toxicity/effect may be different in a complex environmental setting compared with single variable/static lab exposures
- ▶ Chronic (long-term) studies under complex environmental conditions need to be matched with ability to measure and characterize NPs in complex environmental samples
 - absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion
 - recycled NPs
 - route(s) of exposure – absorption, dietary

Case Study

- ▶ Seeing changes that reflect ecosystem scale disturbance
 - Birds, fish dead
 - Deformed frogs
 - Selective flora die-offs

- ▶ Relevance of materials in complex matrix
 - New paradigm vs. a standard tier-testing approach?
 - Choice of organisms for toxicity endpoints
 - Transformation of materials in complex media

Acknowledgements



In Richland, Washington:

- ü **Amoret Bunn**
- ü Vanessa Bailey
- ü Brian Barcello
- ü Sarah Fansler
- ü Dan Gaspar
- ü Christine Jonason
- ü Danielle Saunders
- ü Cynthia Warner
- ü **Marvin Warner**

In Sequim, Washington:

- ü **Jill Brandenberger**
- ü Key-Young Choe
- ü Gary Gill

In Seattle, Washington:

- ü **Steve Breithaupt**