

Characterization Relevant to Personal Care Products

Jay Ansell, PhD, DABT

July 7-8, 2015; Arlington, VA



Technical Workshop Goal

- Council isn't a research institute but topic of great interest to personal care products industry
- Characterize & Exposure have been prominent on our agenda.
- This is due both to the essential role determining exposure plays in safety assessment but also because of the number of regulations which now include nano-specific obligations.
 - Europe Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 labeling and premarket notification
 - Health Canada Sunscreen Record Keeping
- Share my learnings

REPORTS* FOR ICCR

- Associations Survey of Nanomaterials Used in Cosmetic Products. October 2008 & June 2011
- Report of the Joint Regulator - Industry Ad Hoc Working Group:
 1. Currently Available Methods for Characterization of Nanomaterials; June 17, 2011
 2. Characterization of Nanomaterials II - Insolubility, Biopersistence and Size Measurement in Complex Media; July 2012
 3. Characterization of Nanomaterials III - Solubility, Stability & Persistence and Size Measurement in Complex Media; May 2013
- Report of the Joint Regulator - Industry Ad Hoc Working Group: Safety Approaches To Nanomaterials In Cosmetics; Nov 2013

* <http://www.iccrnet.org/>

International Cooperation on Cosmetics Regulation Initiative (ICCR)



- Est. 2007
- Voluntary group of cosmetics regulatory authorities working to remove regulatory obstacles among the regions, while maintaining the highest level of global consumer protection.
- Members:
 - Canada; European Union; Japan; United States; Brazil (2014);
 - Observers-China
- Nanomaterials on the first Agenda.

#1- 2008 Nanotechnology Inventory

- ICCR invited industry to:
 - develop common definitions for nanotechnology in the field of cosmetics; and
 - set up an inventory of current application of nano in this field.
 - Which would be used to determine the path forward
- Industry drafted number of key characteristics, relevant to cosmetics, as guidance
- Shared across all Associations members
- Reported basic survey out Aug. 2008 and expanded (product & frequency of use) in Oct. 2008

“Considerations”

- Stable & Insoluble
 - Excluding labile and soluble materials toxicokinetic view present no ‘exposure’
- Manufactured Intentionally
 - Excluding the universe of naturally occurring nanoscale materials ubiquitous in every day life
- “Nanometric” form
 - Including all types of nanomaterials (particles, aggregates, agglomerates, tubes/ rods)
- Size on the order of 1 to 100 nm
 - Recognition of the value of a uniform, if arbitrary, limits accept the more commonly referenced range

Questions & Concerns

- No surprising ingredients* but even with a simple inventory difficulties became evident.
- Didn't address many important questions- As example: Size
 - Different methods give different sizes
 - Agglomerates or aggregates
 - As Manufactured? As Sold? Final Formulation?
- Important to emphasize being listed wasn't in any way an implicit or explicit make conclusion on safety.
 - This is not a Risk Assessment
 - No Hazard Identification/ No Exposure Analysis

2009 Joint Regulator- Industry JRC Workshop

- Following discussions at ICCR-2, European Joint Research Center with DG Enterprise organized *International Workshop on Regulatory Issues Regarding the Use of Nanotechnology in Cosmetics*, July 2009, at JRC in Ispra, Italy
 - Share current approaches & knowledge on nanomaterials in cosmetics, and to more thoroughly explore the challenges of regulating them.
- 28 experts from Gov'n & Industry: EC JRC, DG ENTR, R&I members from the 4 ICCR jurisdictions, the EU SCCS, the Nanotechnology Industry Association
- Following presentations of the state of science 2 break-out sessions
 - Definitions - Identification, Detection and Characterization
 - Regulatory Safety Testing.

Definitions Outcome

- Recognized that a complete characterization for scientific purposes, (hazard identification and risk assessment) is far more detailed than that needed within a regulatory framework.
- Agreed that for regulatory purposes simpler criteria, like those advanced within the ICCR framework would be sufficient.
- Characterization should be done on finished formulations but analysis methodology was probably not up to the task. Therefore rely on simplified models or on a raw materials basis.
- **Even so** additional work would be needed to fully clarify terminology like stable, insoluble, or 1 to 100 nanometers.

2010 Criteria and Methods of Detection

- Building on Ispra new work items proposed.
- Many organization active in area but ICCR, with its narrower focus on cosmetics, is in a strong position to establish criteria, that while consistent with international definitions is most relevant to cosmetics
- Joint Regulator- Industry Working Group established to recommend criteria determining if a material is considered “nanomaterial” within the 4 regions.

An insoluble ingredient, intentionally manufactured, with one or more dimensions in the realm of 1 to 100 nanometers in the final formulation and is sufficiently stable and persistent in biological media to allow for the potential of interaction with biological systems.

Analytical Methods

- Methods – 21 well developed, robust identified
 - 9 Spectroscopy; 3 Chromatography; 3 Microscopy & 6 Other Physical
- Parameters
 - Particles; Size & Distribution; Agglomeration/Aggregation; Shape; Stability
 - Surface; Area, Chemistry, Charge
 - Chemical Composition

Most Relevant Methods by Parameter

	Spectroscopy								Chromatography			Microscopy			Physical						
	AAS	DLS	LDE	ICP-MS	PALS	SAXS	XPS	XRD	XRF	CHDF	FFF	GE	SEM	SPM	TEM	AUC	BET	CPS	LD	SMPS	
Particle	Size & Distribution		X			X				X	X		X		X			X	X	X	X
	Agglomerate/ Aggregation		X			X							X	X	X				X	X	X
	Shape					X							X	X	X						
	Stability		X																		
Surface	Area																X				
	Chemistry						X							X							
	Charge			X		X						X		X							
Chemical	Composition	X			X		X	X	X												

J. Ansell, D. Araki, et. al., "Report For International Cooperation On Cosmetic Regulation: Report of the Joint Regulator - Industry Ad Hoc Working Group: Currently Available Methods for Characterization of Nanomaterials, (2011)
http://www.iccrnet.org/files/9514/0475/4277/2011-06_Characterization_Approaches_to_Nanomaterials_in_Cosmetics.pdf

Comparison Nano within a Regulatory Framework

EU Cosmetics Regulation	European Commission Recommendation 2011/696/EU	FDA
<p>"nanomaterial" means an <u>insoluble or biopersistent and intentionally manufactured</u> material with one or more external dimensions, or an internal structure, <u>on the scale from 1 to 100 nm.</u></p>	<p>"Nanomaterial" means a <u>natural, incidental or manufactured</u> material containing particles, in an unbound state or as an aggregate or as an agglomerate and where, for <u>50 % or more of the particles in the number size distribution</u>, one or more external dimensions is in the size range 1 nm - 100 nm.</p>	<p>Whether an <u>engineered material</u> or end product has at least one dimension in the nanoscale range (approximately 1 nm to 100 nm); <u>or</u> exhibits properties or phenomena, including physical or chemical properties or biological effects, that are <u>attributable to its dimension(s)</u>, even if these dimensions fall outside the nanoscale range, <u>up to one micrometer.</u></p>

Critical Safety Parameters?

- ILSI – NanoCharacter Workshop Jan 2013
- Identified 28 separate lists (Govern; OECD; ECETOC; Individuals; Research Consortia)
 - 60 separate properties
 - Differencing terminology & by discipline
 - Inconsistent; cannot be measures in vivo;
Qualitative - some not even be assigned units.

<http://www.ilsa.org/NanoCharacter/Pages/NanoCharacter.aspx>

Summary: Nanomaterials Characterization

- A number of well recognize methods are currently available to determine these parameters
- Various methods may have been used but may yield different results because they do not measure the same quantity
 - Size - primary particle, aggregated; agglomerate; hydrodynamic or aerodynamic
- No single method can, in and of itself, fully characterize
- Majority of methods require significant manipulation making properties under exposure conditions difficult to predict.
- Complicate in that none are 'wrong'; they are ALL RIGHT

JRC Practical Challenges

- Regulation to Practice - Challenges
 - Measuring size of the constituent particle inside aggregates, agglomerates regardless of strength they are bound
 - Convert experimental measurements to number average distributions of polydisperse materials
 - Detect and count at sizes <10nm
- Currently usually not possible to determine primary particle unless the aggregates themselves meet the definition.
- Can only be used to show a material is a nanomaterial, NOT to show a material isn't.

AND THIS IS FOR PRISTINE SAMPLES

Challenges: Formulated Products

- Currently there is no method available to detect and characterize a nanomaterial directly complex environment.
- Simple act to isolate, observe, and quantify may change their physicochemical properties, making analysis extremely susceptible to artifacts.
 - The separation and/or extraction process itself can change the nanomaterial (aggregation, de-aggregation, etc.)
 - There is a lack of SOPs for sample preparation, and therefore reproducibility
 - Natural and engineered nanoparticles make it necessary to monitor of engineered nanoparticles within a huge background of unintentionally manufactured or natural nanoparticles.

JRC Take Aways

- Summarizing the current technical limitations , none of the currently available methods can determine for all kinds of potential nanomaterials whether they fulfill the definition or not.
- The determination of whether a product contains a nanomaterial, and eventually the quantity of the nanomaterials, is significantly more complex than just deciding whether a material fulfils the definition.

In Summary

- Many methods require significant sample preparation and so may have little bearing on the nanostructure as used.
- Must avoid having scientifically precise reports wholly divorced from the conditions relevant to exposure.
- A material that may be nanostructured may be considered a nanomaterial based on one set of definitions, but, in fact, have no nanoparticles under actual conditions of use.
- Great care in reporting and interpretation of results to avoid confusion with characterization for regulatory purposes and assessing the safety of nanomaterials.